

Math 255A' Lecture 21 Notes

Daniel Raban

November 18, 2019

1 Continuous Functional Calculus for Self-Adjoint Operators

1.1 Idea for proving the general spectral theorem for self-adjoint operators

Theorem 1.1. *Let T be a self-adjoint operator on H with*

$$a = \inf_{\|x\|=1} \langle Tx, x \rangle, \quad b = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \langle Tx, x \rangle.$$

Then there exists a spectral family $(E(\lambda))_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$T = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda dE(\lambda).$$

This means $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \int_{[a,b]} \lambda d\mu_{x,y}$ for all $x, y \in H$, where $\mu_{x,y}$ is the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure corresponding to $F_{x,y}(\lambda) = \langle E(\lambda), x, y \rangle$.

Method: Consider the map $\mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ sending $p(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i t^i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n c_i T^i$.

Remark 1.1. This is a homomorphism of algebras over \mathbb{R} .

The idea is to enrich the domain of this homomorphism to produce many more operators out of T . Why is this relevant? Suppose

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i E(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i)$$

like in the finite case. Then

$$T^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^2 E(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i).$$

This generalizes to any polynomial of T . Assume we can do this for the functions $p(t) = \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, \mu]}(t)$. Then

$$p(T) = \int_a^\mu p(\lambda) dE(\lambda) = E(\mu).$$

So this should tell us what $E(\mu)$ is. The proof of the spectral theorem is basically this idea in reverse.

1.2 Continuous functional calculus

When we extend our functional calculus to non-polynomial functions, we only really care what the functions only do on the spectrum of T . In particular, the function $p(T)$ should only depend on the values of p in $[a, b]$, where a, b are as above.

Our next goal is to show that if $p \in R[t]$ and $c \leq p(t) \leq d$ for all $t \in [a, b]$, then $cI \leq p(T) \leq dI$. It is enough to show one side of the inequality, and so it is enough to show it when $c = 0$. So we will show that if $p|_{[a, b]} \geq 0$, then $p(T) \geq 0$.

Lemma 1.1 (sum of squares decomposition). *If $p \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ and $p \geq 0$, then there exist $q_1, \dots, q_m \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ such that $p(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m q_i(t)^2$.*

Remark 1.2. This can actually be shown for $m = 2$.

Proof. Let $p(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i t^i$. If $n = 0$, we are done. Suppose $n \geq 1$ and $p \geq 0$. Then n is even, and $c_n > 0$. Then there exists some $u \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $p(u) = \min p(\mathbb{R}) =: c$. Let $p_1(t) := p(t) - c$. Then $p_1 \geq 0$, and $p_1(0) = 0$. This implies that $(t - u)^2$ divides p in $\mathbb{R}[t]$. so $p_1(t) = (t - u)^2 p_2(t)$. Since p_2 is continuous, $p_2 \geq 0$ with $\deg p_2 = n - 2$. By induction, $p_2(t) = \sum_j q_j(t)^2$, and we get

$$p(t) = \sum_j ((t - u)q_j(t))^2 + (\sqrt{c})^2. \quad \square$$

Proposition 1.1. *Let T be self-adjoint. If $p|_{[a, b]} \geq 0$, then $p(T) \geq 0$.*

Proof. Step 1: Assume $p \geq 0$. Then $p(t) = \sum_j q_j(t)^2$, so $p(T) = \sum_j (q_j(T))^2$, which is a sum of positive operators: $\langle (q(T))^2 x, x \rangle = \|q(T)x\|^2$.

Step 2: Assume $a = -1, b = 1$, so $p|_{[-1, 1]} \geq 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and choose $\delta > 0$ such that $(p + \varepsilon)|_{[-(1+\delta), 1+\delta]} \geq 0$. Define $p_n(t) = p(t) + \left(\frac{t}{1+\delta}\right)^{2n}$. Then $p_n \geq 0$ for all sufficiently large n . So by case 1, $p_n(T) \geq 0$ for all sufficiently large n . But

$$\|(p + \varepsilon)(T) - p_n(T)\| = \left\| \left(\frac{T}{1 + \delta} \right)^n \right\| \leq \frac{\|T\|^n}{(1 + \delta)^n} = \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^n} \rightarrow 0.$$

So $p_n(T) \xrightarrow{op} (p + \varepsilon)(T)$, which makes $(p + \varepsilon)(T) \geq 0$. Then $p(T) \geq 0$. □

So $p \mapsto p(T)$ satisfies $\|p(T)\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|p\|_{[a,b]} \|p\|_{\text{sup}}$. So if $f \in C([a, b])$, define $f(T) = \lim_n p_n(T)$. Then for all $p_n \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ such that $p_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[a, b]$ this is well-defined. So $f \mapsto f(T)$ is still a homomorphism: If $p_n \rightarrow f$ and $q_n \rightarrow g$ in $C([a, b])$, then $p_n q_n \rightarrow fg$ in $C([a, b])$. Therefore,

$$(fg)(t) = \lim_n (p_n q_n)(T) = \lim_n p_n(T) q_n(T) = f(T)g(T).$$

This gives us a well-defined functional calculus for continuous functions.

1.3 Weak operator limits of positive functions

Definition 1.1. If $\langle T_n \rangle \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $T_n \rightarrow T$ in the **weak operator topology** if $\langle T_n x, y \rangle \rightarrow \langle T x, y \rangle$.

Remark 1.3. We could also define the **strong operator topology** by $T_n x \rightarrow T x$ for all $x \in H$.

Proposition 1.2. Suppose $\langle T_n \rangle_n \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $T_n \geq 0$ and $T_n \geq T_{n+1}$ for all n . Then there exists a positive $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{WOT}} T$.

Remark 1.4. We actually get SOT convergence here, but the proof is a bit harder.

Proof. For all $x \in H$, $\langle T_n x, x \rangle$ must converge to some $Q(x, x) \geq 0$. For all x, y we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_n x, y \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} (\langle T_n(x+y), x+y \rangle - \langle T_n x, x \rangle - \langle T_n y, y \rangle) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (Q(x+y, x+y) - Q(x, x) - Q(y, y)) \\ &=: Q(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Check that $(x, y) \mapsto Q(x, y)$ is symmetric, bilinear, positive, and $Q(x, x) \leq M\|x\|^2$ for some M .

So for each x , the map $Q(x, \cdot) \in H^* = H$ and is bounded: $\|Q(x, \cdot)\| \leq M\|x\|$. By Riesz representation, there exists some $T x \in H$ such that $Q(x, y) = \langle T x, y \rangle$ for all x, y . Check that $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is self-adjoint with $T \geq 0$. \square

The idea for the next step is to let $\langle f_n \rangle_n \in C([a, b])$ be bounded below with $f_n \downarrow g$ (possible e.g. if $g = \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, \mu]}$). Then if $f_n \geq f$ in $C([a, b])$, $f_n(T) \geq f_{n+1}(T)$ in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. We will define $g(T)$ as the WOT limit of the $f_n(T)$.